# ФIAEANHN 

By Peter Parsons, Oxford

This paper discusses a papyrological curiosity, first published more than seventy years ago, which continues to pose intractable questions: what sort of text can it be? what sort of person can it describe? I have tried to present the questions more fully than earlier editors; but I have failed to find answers more convincing than theirs. I hope only that Thomas Gelzer, a scholar equally $\varphi \mid \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ and $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \gamma \circ \rho \circ \varsigma$, will enjoy the problem and advance the solution.

## The papyrus

The famous Tragic Songs papyrus in Strasbourg (P. Strasbourg WG 304307) consists of a group of fragments recovered by Ibscher from mummy cartonnage. The roll carries writing on both sides, but by different hands. After a first and partial publication by Crönert ${ }^{1}$, further sections of the verso were published by Knox ${ }^{2}$; later, Bruno Snell ${ }^{3}$ and Naphthali Lewis ${ }^{4}$ examined the fragments more systematically. Most recently, Donald Mastronarde has reedited WG 307 recto, which contains extracts from Euripides, Phoenissae, and confirmed the correct alignment of the smaller fragments which compose it ${ }^{5}$.

The Recto contains an anthology of Euripidean lyric (Pack ${ }^{2}$ 426), and tragic iambics generally ascribed to Astydamas, Hector ( $\mathrm{P}^{2} 170 ; \operatorname{Tr} G F$ I 60 F2a). The Verso carries miscellaneous extracts in verse, chiefly iambic or choliambic:

WG 304: Tragedy? $\operatorname{Tr} G F$ II Adesp. 697-698 (P² 1735).
WG 306 col. ii: Philemon fr. 93 KA; Paean to Eurus, PMG 858 (P² 1592).
WG 307: (a) col. i 1-29 choliambics first published by Knox and attributed by him to Phoenix ( $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ 1349); paragraphos below
(b) col. i 30-ii 3 iambics first published by Crönert ( $\mathrm{P}^{2} 1698$ ), republished by Colin Austin, CGFPR no. 300(a), now PCG Adesp. 1036; paragraphos and blank line below

[^0](c) col. ii 5-, c. 19 much broken lines ( $\mathrm{P}^{2} 1592$ ), 5-10 published by Knox (of which 6-8 = Eur., Or. 9, 10, 6), 11-16 (on a separate fragment) published by Snell, the whole re-edited by Mastronarde, ZPE 38 (1980) 38-426; below 10 a wider line-space may serve to introduce a new extract, which may or may not continue in (d)
(d) col. ii foot, ten lines (24-33) first published by Knox ( $\mathrm{P}^{2}$ 1592), republished by Colin Austin, CGFPR no. 300(b), now PCG Adesp. 1060. Apparently paragraphos below the last line.

The script of the Recto was originally assigned by Crönert to the later Ptolemaic period. Lewis dated it to the mid-third century BC, Schubart to the borders of the second and first century ${ }^{7}$; more recently, Turner has argued for a date about $250 \mathrm{BC}^{8}$, Cavallo for a date in the first half of the second century ${ }^{9}$. The hand of the Verso was assigned by Crönert to the same period as the recto; by Lewis to the second century BC, by Bell and Lobel to the mid-third century ${ }^{10}$.

Palaeographic datings always need a pinch of salt, especially when comparative material is relatively scarce ${ }^{11}$. But to my eye too Crönert's original dating looks unduly late. I should opt for c. $250-150 \mathrm{BC}$ as a reasonable assessment; and I see no reason why Verso and Recto should not be contemporary.

That sets a lower limit for the composition of the iambics now to be discussed.

[^1]
## Text

PStrasb. WG 307 verso i 30-ii 3 = C. Austin, CGFPR 300 (a), with bibliography





(col. ii) $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \imath \sigma \tau \alpha \ldots$ [
$\tau \mu \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ び६o...[
$\alpha \cup ̣ \tau o ̀ \gamma \kappa \cup \beta \varepsilon[\rho \nu$
Lectional signs: none. I have checked the readings on a photograph which Dr Austin was kind enough to lend me.

Line 5 ends a column, lines 6-8 begin another; Knox and Maas (quoted by Snell) had suggested that 6-8 followed directly on 5, and Mastronarde has confirmed this reconstruction of the papyrus. In col. ii the writing looks larger, the line-space wider; and the beginnings are inset in relation to the lines which follow, even though these are or may be iambic trimeters too. We might therefore take them to be a heading or the like; Knox assumed them to be a separate text, "three pseudo-Epicharmic verses". On the other hand, there is a paragraphos below line 8 , apparently no paragraphos below line 5 : that suggests continuity, and the content equally could continue the enumeration of virtues.
$1 \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \ldots \pi \dot{\alpha}, \ldots \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$. Indicative or imperative? For the former compare

 To whom is this addressed? If our extract comes from comedy, it might address the company on stage, or the audience, as in the final $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho о \tau \eta$ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ and its variations (Antiph. fr. 34 KA; Men., Misum. 464 S, Sam. 734 S), or mankind in general, so far as they can be distinguished from the audience (Apollod. Car. fr. 5.1 KA). If it comes from an independent poem, it might address an imagined audience; but moral precepts seem normally to admonish the reader, and therefore in the second person singular.
 Crönert (punctuated so rather than after $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta^{\gamma} \dot{\alpha}$ ). I adopt $\tau 0 ̣ \tilde{\tau} \tau \underset{\varphi}{ }$ on the balance of the palaeographic evidence. It is true that the second and fifth letters (which are not damaged, but cursively written) look more like alpha than omicron, since they have an oblique axis sloping upwards from left to right. On the other hand, $\tau \alpha v \tau \alpha$ does not explain the ink just before $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$; even allowing for a leftward extension of the horizontal of pi, there remains more than the finial of alpha, and those traces fit very well the characteristic high-stepping nu. $\tau \alpha \cup \tau \alpha \underset{\sim}{c}$, i.e. $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \not ้ \not ้ v$, would be ideal, but I do not see how to accommodate it ( $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \tilde{\varphi} \tau \varepsilon$ cannot be read). If the scribe did intend $\tau 0 \cup \tau o v$, he
must have drawn the right-hand side of his omicrons from below, and slanted them to ligature with the next letter; there are parallels for this in other informal hands of the period, but no good clear parallel in the immediate context (admittedly, much damaged).
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha}=$ "good qualities". LSJ quotes Isoc. 8.32 тoĩs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta$ vioç oìs

 како́тоия $\delta^{\prime}$ єìך.

2 ff . Some of the virtues are too unspecific to prove anything. For $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ s$ and $\varepsilon u ̋ y \varepsilon v i ́ s ~ s e e ~ E . ~ C . ~ W e l s k o p f, ~ S o z i a l e ~ T y p e n b e g r i f f e ~ i m ~ a l t e n ~ G r i e c h e n l a n d ~$ (Berlin 1968), a collection of texts; on these and $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \varepsilon i o s ~ a n d ~ \sigma \dot{\omega} \varphi \rho \omega v$, K. J. Dover, Greek Popular Morality (Oxford 1974).

عù $\gamma \varepsilon v \dot{n} \varsigma$ of course extends from good birth to good character (Dover 93-

$3 \varphi \mid \lambda o \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon u ́ s$. The word occurs only rarely. (1) Alexander declared Craterus to be $\varphi i \lambda o \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \varsigma$, but Hephaestion $\varphi i \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \alpha \nu \delta \rho o \varsigma:$ the anecdote appears in Diod. Sic. 17.114.2; Plut., Alex. 47.10, Mor. 181D ${ }^{12}$; (2) the Macedonians are said always to have been $\varphi 1 \lambda \circ \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \varsigma$, but after the defeat of Perseus they gave up (Plut., Aem. 24.1); (3) the rebellious citizens of Tiberias
 own defence (Vit. 345.3); (4) Eustathius commonly calls Nestor "king-loving", because he took Agamemnon's side. Thus the word generally indicates loyalty to the monarchic principle. I have not found it in inscriptions; but its successors $\varphi t \lambda o ́ \kappa \alpha 1 \sigma \alpha \rho$ and $\varphi i \lambda о \sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma$ frequently do appear there as self-descriptions. Some scholars have argued that these epithets indicate a particular rank in society, i.e. presuppose the formal grant of the title amicus Caesaris. If that were so, we could consider whether $\varphi 1 \lambda o \beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon u ́ s$ implies that the person
 hellenistic courts ${ }^{13}$, and thought by most to derive from the Macedonian $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \alpha i ̃-$ pol. That would of course strengthen the impression that we are dealing with a senior figure of the (Ptolemaic?) court. But there are clear arguments against taking the Roman terms to represent a formal rank, see D. Braund, Rome and the Friendly King (London 1984) 107; and as regards $\varphi 1 \lambda 0 \beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon u ́ s$, the literary sources give no such hint.
 well as physical (e.g. Men., Sam. 64), and within physical activities to (say) athletes as well as soldiers (Anaxipp. fr. 3.4 KA).

[^2]$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \alpha$. Presumably "very trustworthy" rather than "great in the

 $\pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon 1$ Plut., Publ. 19.3 (cf. Mor. 250D).

4 ต $\lambda \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta v$. For a survey of usage, see J.-L. Ferrary, Philhellénisme et Impérialisme (Rome 1988) 497-526; to his list (498 n. 9) a few more examples can now be added from $T L G$. The word covers a wide range: it is possible to distinguish political from cultural philhellenism, although in many cases the one implies, or manifests itself in, the other (S. Hornblower, Mausolus, Oxford 1982, 295ff.). In outline, the word is applied (1) to non-Greeks either (a) by Greeks, as an interested compliment, or (b) by the non-Greeks themselves, as a gesture; (2) much more rarely, by Greeks to Greeks.
(1) (a) In the nature of things, we hear mostly about monarchs and grandees, whose pro-Greek leanings had important practical consequences. The paradigm is Amasis, who gave the Greeks a city (Naucratis) and religious sites: Herodotus 2.178. Isocrates applies the word to Philip II, in anticipation of his work for Greeks against Persians (5.122); Xenophon to the more benevolent of two Egyptian kings (Ages. 2.31). It was applied retrospectively to Alexander I of Macedon, for services unspecified ${ }^{14}$, and to Perseus under Roman threat (App., Mac. 11.4.12); to Psammetichus, who gave his sons a Greek education (Diod. Sic. 1.67.9), and Hieron I of Syracuse, who honoured Greek culture (Ael., VH 9.1), and the Jewish king Aristobulus I (Joseph., $A J$ 13.318). Similarly, in the novel, of Persian royalty and their eunuchs (Chariton 6.7.5; Heliod. 7.11 .7 etc ). Whole peoples may be philhellene, in practical benevolence or religious or cultural community (cf. Pl., Resp. 470E): the Celts according to Ephorus ( $F G r H 70$ F 131); the Salaminians under Evagoras, who took Greek wives and enjoyed Greek goods and practices (Isoc. 9.50); the Galatians, who even came to write their contracts in Greek (Strab. 4.1.5). The kinglike grandees of the Roman Republic follow in the same line: both Antony (Plut., Ant. 23.2) and Cassius (App., BCiv. 4.67.284); Cicero claimed his real, and wellpublicised, philhellenism as a good augury for his brother's governorship of Asia (Att. 1.15.1). Nero granted independence and freedom from taxes to the province of Achaia, and the people of Akraiphia duly honoured him: $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \varsigma$ кגi
 814.40). The unknown emperor of [Aristides] 35.20 earns the epithet by restoring respect for Greek paideia after a time of neglect.

[^3](1) (b) The later hellenistic era produces evidence of monarchs who themselves adopted Philhellen as a title: thus more than one king of Parthia, Antiochus I of Commagene and the rest ${ }^{15}$. Prudence might recommend a gesture to the rising as well as the declining power (or to power as well as to culture): Strabo notes that Rhodes maintained its independence by being on good terms
 took the double title, which survived, at least in the remoteness of the Kingdom of Bosporus, as late as the third century $\mathrm{AD}^{16}$. Diplomacy explained that the Romans punish kings who plot against the Greeks; kings who maintain their friendship with the Romans, those common benefactors, always produce an incidental benefit for the Greeks ${ }^{17}$.
(2) $\kappa \alpha \lambda o ̀ v " E \lambda \lambda \eta v \alpha$ őv $\tau \alpha \varphi 1 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha$ عĩv ${ }^{\prime}$ (Xen., Ages. 7.4). Thus the Athenians stood up for Greek interests in general (Isoc. 4.96, 12.241); so do the Corinthians in Favorinus fr. 95.17 B ([Dio Chrys.], Or. 20.17 von Arnim). Hippocrates was a patriot, and declined an invitation to the Persian court (Soranus, Vit. Hipp. 8.1). Above all, Homer was a patriot: $\dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \grave{i} ~ \varphi i \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu ~ o ́ ~$ $\pi 0 ı \eta \eta)^{(S c h o l . ~ b T ~ o n ~ I l . ~ 10.14-16) ~}{ }^{18}$.
$\pi \rho \alpha \ddot{̈} \varsigma$ : the older form (see KB I 532f; Braswell on Pind., Pyth. 4.136), which reappears in Xenophon and hellenistic prose. Attic drama has $\pi \rho \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \circ$; ; for New Comedy both papyri and MSS transmit -o- (Men., Fab. Inc. 41, CGFPR 256.24; Men., Cith. fr. 1.4, fr. 608, Philem. fr. 82.8 KA) - but -v- in a papyrus of the Monostichoi (VII 4 J ).
$\varepsilon \cup \dot{\pi} \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \gamma \circ \rho \circ \varsigma$ is attested first in Euripides, then at Isoc. 1.20; the quality is praised in the Monostichoi (260, 265, 654).
 phrase cf. $\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} \beta \omega v \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \cup \varepsilon \iota \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \imath v, ~ P h i l o, ~ S p e c . ~ l e g . ~ 4.33 .2 . ~$
$6 \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \ldots[$, rather than $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \ldots[$, to judge from the photograph. If this does continue the description, we could think of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} v o \varsigma$ followed by infinitives of which one ( $\tau \iota \mu \tilde{\alpha} v$ ) survives in 7 . That seems satisfactory in sense, if a little awkward in metre. Plut., Marc. $21.7 \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} . . . \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ 'E $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta o \varsigma ~ o u ̉ \kappa$ غ̇ $\tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma \tau \iota \mu \tilde{\alpha} v$.
$7 \tau \iota \mu \tilde{\alpha} \nu$ vิะoụ́[ऽ rather than vै\&óv, to judge from the photograph.
 With aútóv, we could consider two possibilities. (a) The moralising context might suggest a subject like 'reason': ‘Epicharmus' 23 B 57.1 DK ó $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ os

[^4]$\dot{\alpha} v \vartheta \rho \omega ் \pi о \cup \varsigma ~ к \cup \beta \varepsilon \rho \vee \underset{\alpha}{\alpha}$ (cf. Chrysipp. $S V F$ III 390 p. 95.10-12); Men. fr. 417.4
 "command one's own ship" (for which you need an apprenticeship as an ordinary sailor). This is a memorable passage (Sulla quoted it over the head of the younger Marius, Appian, BCiv. 1.94), and the phrase might be in point here: a leader of long experience. (ii) With aútóv, the point might be imperare sibi maximum imperium est (Sen., Ep. 113.30). The metaphor seems natural, though the closest verbal parallel I can find comes from high poetry: Bacchyl.
 J. Péron, Les images maritimes de Pindare, Paris 1974, 139). Philosophers naturally include self-command among the virtues: $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{\tau}$ ò $\varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \cup \tau o ̀ v ~ к \rho \alpha \tau \varepsilon i ̃ \nu ~ \tau \varepsilon ~$ кaì vıка̃v, Ant. Soph. 87 B 58 DK; aủ兀òv દ̇autoũ a้ $\rho \chi \varepsilon ı v, ~ P l ., ~ G r g . ~ 491 D ~ e t c . ~$

## Style, genre, content

We have here seven lines without a context. They are iambic trimeters, and plainly comic rather than tragic; they describe a paragon of all the virtues. Editors have glossed the facts in different ways. Crönert and Körte ${ }^{19}$ thought of a play, and a high-ranking officer or official; Crönert thought of Athens (at a time of Macedonian alliance) or Alexandria, Körte opted for Alexandria, since an Attic writer would hardly use $\varphi 1 \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ and $\varphi 1 \lambda o \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon u ́ s$ as terms of praise. Platnauer agreed in recognising a piece of Alexandrian comedy ${ }^{20}$. Page agreed in seeing an officer at the Alexandrian court, but thought the piece probably not drama, in spite of its tragic models ${ }^{21}$.

Some basic qualifications need to be made. We cannot be sure that the catalogue describes an individual rather than a type; or that $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \varepsilon i ̃ o \varsigma ~ s u g g e s t s$ a soldier, and $\varphi t \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ points to a barbarian; or that lines which mention a monarch must have been written in or for a monarchy, Egyptian or otherwise.

The questions of genre and matter are clearly related. The clues are these:
(i) The anthology. These lines keep company in the anthology with more than one genre. Moral choliambs precede; what follows quotes Euripides, then comes a scene of comic cookery ${ }^{22}$.
(ii) Metre. These are iambic trimeters, composed not later than c. 200 BC . Metric shows that, if they come from drama, they come from comedy, not tragedy. If they do not come from drama, what other genres would accommo-

[^5]date them at this date? In the early hellenistic age, Machon used comic trimeters for his Chreiai, Apollodorus for his Chronica (and his successors for other kinds of digestible didactic); but nothing there looks like this. Philosophers of more than one school seem to have used the medium - perhaps Crantor and Zeno (SH 345-346, 852), certainly Crates (SH 362-367) and Cleanthes (frr. 2-3, 5-10 Powell); most of the fragments consist of direct injunction, but at least the moral context might provide a home for our lines. As for straight encomium, imperial poets will use trimeters for that too, but they are much later ${ }^{23}$.
(iii) Style. The catalogue of virtues shows careful composition. 3 and 4 end with a longer word or word-group; then in 5 the string expands into participial phrases; then (if 6-8 do depend on $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \varepsilon v \circ \varsigma$ ) another participle leads into a string of infinitives for the coda. Some commonplaces are dignified by expan-
 enough, but the combination may be designedly paradoxical: elsewhere $\mu \mathrm{o} \sigma 0-$ rov $\rho$ í $\alpha$ stands in contrast with $\pi \rho \alpha o ́ \tau \eta \varsigma$ (Philo, De vita Mosis 2.279, Plut., Tim. 3.5).
(iv) Catalogues. The virtues form an asyndetic string. Asyndeton by itself of course is not indicative. It may mark equally comic patter (Antiphan. fr. 88 KA, Ar., Vesp. 675-677, Eubulus fr. 74 KA ; Herodas 1.27) or breathless rhetoric (Pl., Symp. 197DE, Phdr. 253DE) or the shorthand of a practical manual (Xen., Cyn. 2.5, 6.15, 10.1). If we limit ourselves to personal description, we find similar passages in tragedy (earlier editors cited especially the character of Capaneus at Eur., Supp. 867-871, ... $\dot{\alpha} \psi \varepsilon \cup \delta \varepsilon ̀ \varsigma ~ \tilde{\eta} \vartheta \vartheta o \varsigma, ~ \varepsilon u ̉ \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ं \gamma о \rho o v ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha, ~$
 in epitaphs ${ }^{24}$ like $C E G 67$ (Attica, c. 500?) [ $\left.\sigma o ́\right] \varphi \rho o v, \varepsilon \cup \cup[\chi \sigma u ́ v] \varepsilon \tau \circ \varsigma, \chi \sigma \varepsilon[v ı \kappa o ́] s$, $\pi i[v u] \tau!o ́ \varsigma^{25}$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ ' [ $\left.\varepsilon i \delta \delta o ́\right] \varsigma^{26}$. O. Skutsch added a striking parallel from epic. Ennius thus describes the trusted friend of the consul (Cn.) Servilius Geminus (a self-portrait, according to Aelius Stilo): ... doctus, fidelis, / suavis homo, iucundus, suo contentus, beatus, / scitus, secunda loquens in tempore, commodus, verbum / paucum, multa tenens antiqua, sepulta vetustas / quae facit ... (Ann. 279-283 S).
(v) Virtues. Our hero has all the virtues: he is a gentleman (2); loyal and trusted (3); civilised and affable (4) and yet of high principle (5); devout and self-controlled (7-8?). That is relatively rare, and certainly easier to predicate

[^6]of the mythical or the dead. Thus Argos could boast Agamemnon tòv ov $\mu \mathrm{i} \alpha v$
 12.72, quoted by Maas); $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ hé $\chi o v \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \in v$, says the epitaph of Alcimachus (CEG I 69, c. 500 BC ?). Our hero, however, is presented in the present. It may be that he is indeed accidentally perfect; it may of course be that irony comes into it. But Dirk Obbink points out to me another interesting possibility. The Stoic sage is perfect by profession: $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \pi 0 \imath \varepsilon \tau ̃ \nu$ đòv $\sigma 0 \varphi o ̀ v\langle\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}\rangle \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$

 reason is his helmsman (SVF III fr. 390).

If we pursue this line, we may want again to look for a context in moral rather than dramatic literature. As it happens, the closest parallel I have found to our catalogue comes from Cleanthes (fr. 3 P, SVF I 557):
$\alpha \cup ̉ \sigma \tau \eta \rho o ́ v, ~ \alpha \cup ̉ v ิ \varepsilon ́ \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o v, ~ \alpha i \varepsilon i ̀ ~ \sigma \cup \mu \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho o v, ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$.

One can imagine a context in which the Good Man is described as breathlessly as the Good itself.

However, this solution confronts two difficulties. First, moral iambics normally address a singular reader; we should need some special pleading to accommodate $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha ̃ \tau \varepsilon$. Second, $\varphi 1 \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ and $\varphi t \lambda o \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u ́ s ~ m a y ~ s e e m ~ t o o ~$ specific, and the other virtues too unspecific, to pinpoint a directly philosophical context ${ }^{28}$.

Reluctantly, then, I return to the traditional view. These lines describe an individual (real or fictional); therefore we are dealing with comedy. This individual serves a king, yet possesses all the virtues recognised by Greeks and even by Greek philosophers. He qualifies as $\varphi 1 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \eta v$ : either a non-Greek, acting in the Greek interest; or a Greek acting (against local loyalties) in the general Greek interest; not necessarily of high rank, provided he has the opportunity to harm or benefit. If the former, we could consider an Egyptian, or a Persian (famous for the love of truth, compare line 5); but clearly a Macedonian comes most easily to mind, $\varphi \iota \lambda 0 \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon u ́ s$ like Craterus and the subjects of Perseus ${ }^{29}$.

27 For example, $S V F$ I fr. 216; III frr. 594, 630, 255.
28 Not that loyalty is inconsistent with the character of the wise man: Dr. Obbink points to $S V F$
 $\beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$.
 guessed that this Pausanias is the lover of Agathon, and the first title refers to their stay at the court of Archelaus. A Macedonian ruler figures in the fishy fantasia of Ephippus fr. 5 (see most recently H.-G. Nesselrath, Die attische Mittlere Komödie, Berlin/New York 1990, 218 -

What sort of character in what sort of comedy? O. Skutsch constructed a hellenistic topos, the portrait of the king's confidant: the serious version adapted by Ennius, a parodic version given to Terence's Thraso (Eun. 401 ff .) - and derived perhaps from his Menandrean source ${ }^{30}$. That would give a context for ironic encomium. But the construct is vulnerable ${ }^{31}$; two texts do not make a topos. Quite another context could be imagined, without the irony: the good Greek mercenary, loyal both to his foreign king and to his fellow Greeks. It was not only Thraso who had royal connections. A more sympathetic soldier, Thrasonides in Menander's Misoumenos (fr. 5 S), may have served in Cyprus "under one of the kings".
221), but only among other barbarians of the mediterranean fringe. The speaker of Machon fr . 1 notes the gastronomic contribution made by the Macedonians "to us Athenians". Since Machon produced his comedies at Alexandria, not at Athens (Athen. XIV 664A), he would come closest to the world of Macedonian officers at kingly courts.
30 O. Skutsch, Studia Enniana (London 1968) 92-94; summarised in The Annals of Quintus Ennius (Oxford 1985) 450f. I owe this and the following reference to Mr. P. G. McC. Brown.
31 S. Goldberg, Epic in Republican Rome (New York 1995) 121-123.


[^0]:    * I am grateful to Peter Brown, Simon Hornblower, Arnd Kerkhecker and Richard Rutherford for advice and bibliography; and especially to Dirk Obbink for illuminating discussion.
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